

**Before the
MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005
Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 22163976
Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in
Website: www.mercindia.org.in / www.merc.gov.in**

Case No. 40 of 2016

Dated: 22 March, 2016

**CORAM: Shri Azeez M. Khan, Member
Shri Deepak J. Lad, Member**

In the matter of

Petition of Shri Mahadu Dhondiba Fartare under Sections 68 & 164 of the Electricity Act, 2003 & Sections 10 & 16(1) of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885 for review of the Order dated 1 December, 2015 passed by the District Collector, Ahmednagar and for seeking appropriate compensation.

Shri Mahadu Dhondiba Fartare

.....Petitioner

V/s

1) The District Collector, Ahmednagar

..... Respondents

2) Power Grid Corporation of India (PGCIL)

Appearance

For the Petitioner:

Shri Dinkur Mahadu Fartare
Representative

For the Respondent No. 1:

None

For the Respondent No. 2:

Shri Vishwajeet Singh
Engineer, PGCIL.

Daily Order

Heard the Representatives of the Petitioner and Respondent No.2.

1. The Petitioner reiterated the issues raised in the Petition. PGCIL has started erection work of 765 kV Transmission Line Tower on Petitioner's land in the year 2013. No consent was taken for said works from the Petitioner. PGCIL has transported the

material at site and caused damage to the standing crops, trees. Since last 2 years, material of PGCIL is lying on the land, due to which Petitioner has suffered a loss of crops. Further, he stated that, on application of PGCIL, the District Collector, Ahmednagar passed an Order on 1 December, 2015. The District Collector permitted PGCIL to carry out the work but did not determine the compensation, and directed PGCIL to file its proposal before the Sub-divisional Officer for determination of compensation.

2. PGCIL submitted that it has issued a cheque towards crop compensation for loss caused due to foundation work of Tower, as determined by the Tahsildar, Parner. However, the Petitioner has refused to accept the compensation amount.
3. As per directives of the District Collector, PGCIL has filed a proposal before the Sub-divisional Officer for determination of compensation on 28 December, 2015. PGCIL is ready to pay the compensation to the Petitioner as determined by the Sub-divisional Officer, Ahmednagar.
4. PGCIL stated that it had not received a copy of the Petition, and hence sought two weeks time for filing its detailed Reply with a copy to the Petitioner, which was granted by the Commission. The Petitioner may file a Rejoinder, if any, within fifteen days thereafter with a copy to PGCIL.

The Case is reserved for Order.

Sd/-

(Deepak J. Lad)
Member

Sd/-

(Azeez M. Khan)
Member